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Survey of California voters

Report on results

From Friday, April 9 through Monday, April 12, 2021, J. Wallin Opinion Research conducted a telephone survey of voters throughout the state of California.

We interviewed 1,000 respondents. The survey was administered in Spanish and English languages and contacting both mobile and landlines (70.5% of this survey was completed on mobile phones). A survey of this size yields a margin of error of +/-3.1% (95% confidence interval).

Our sample is stratified, meaning that the demographic composition of our results matches the demographic composition of the region and turnout model that we surveyed. Key demographics include:

**Ethnic Background**
- White = 38.2%
- Latino/Hispanic = 28.9%
- Black/African-American = 10.4%
- Asian/Pacific Islander = 10.4%
- Native American = 1.7%
- Middle Eastern = 0.7%
- Other = 9.7%

**Gender**
- Women = 52%
- Men = 48%

**Age Group**
- 18-34 = 28.6%
- 35-54 = 31.5%
- 55-64 = 16.4%
- 65-69 = 7.2%
- 70+ = 16.3%

**Region**
- Los Angeles County = 26.2%
- Other Southern California = 31.7%
- Central Valley = 18.9%
- San Francisco Bay Area = 19.1%
- Other Northern California = 4.1%

J. Wallin Opinion Research performs research on behalf of business, government and political clients.
General Themes
What is top of mind in California?
Highlights from the cloud
Coronavirus, homelessness and economy/jobs
Are the leading “dinner table conversations” of California voters

Question: What is the most important issue facing your community today?

- Coronavirus/COVID-19: 15.9%
- Homelessness: 15.6%
- Economy/jobs: 13.2%
- Government: 10.5%
- Public safety: 7.2%
- Affordable housing: 5.4%
- Police brutality/racial injustice: 4.8%
- Immigration: 3.1%
- Environmental issues: 3.0%
- Healthcare: 2.9%
- Education: 2.3%
- Transportation: 2.3%
- Other: 7.4%
- Nothing/no issues: 2.3%
- Unsure/refused: 3.6%
California’s Aging Population
A majority (57.5%) feels that California is NOT prepared to care for its growing aging population.

Question: Over the next decade, California’s aging population will increase by four million. In twenty-five years, it will double. More than half will require some form of long-term care. The aging of California’s population will dramatically increase the number of people who need services and supports across the healthcare and social services system. Do you feel that California will be prepared to care for its growing aging population?

* 2020 Question: “Since March, the Coronavirus crisis has hit older adults and people with chronic conditions particularly hard. At the same time, California’s population is aging, bringing with it an increase in the number of people who need services and supports across the healthcare and social services system, but often who cannot afford or find available options. Do you feel that California is prepared to care for its growing aging population now and into the future?”
A majority (52.7%) supports California’s Master Plan for Aging

Question: Early this year, Governor Newsom launched California’s first Master Plan for Aging, a blueprint for a ten-year plan to build “an age-friendly state so that all Californians can age with dignity and independence.” In general, do you support or oppose California’s Master Plan for Aging? [RECORD] [IF SUPPORT OR OPPOSE>>>] And would you say that you strongly (support/oppose) or somewhat (support/oppose)?
Nearly a supermajority (65.4%) says the pandemic has made it more urgent for CA’s elected leaders to prioritize the Master Plan.

Question: The COVID-19 pandemic has hit older adults especially hard. About three-quarters of our state’s COVID-related deaths were among those sixty-five or older. Eighty-percent of these deaths are from our Black and Latino communities. The pandemic has magnified long-standing challenges - including workforce shortages and lack of access to services - within our healthcare and social services systems that disproportionately affect older adults, racial/ethnic populations, people with disabilities, and their caregivers. Do you feel that the pandemic has made it more urgent for California’s elected leaders to prioritize investing in the Master Plan for Aging to better address the needs of Californians, particularly those populations that have been most affected by COVID-19? [RECORD] [IF YES>>>] And would you say that it is much more or somewhat more urgent?

* 2020 Question: "Do you feel that the Coronavirus pandemic has made it more urgent for California’s elected leaders to focus on the Master Plan for Aging? [RECORD] [IF YES>>>] And would you say that it is much more or somewhat more urgent?"
Alzheimer’s and
The Master Plan for Aging
82.6% feel it is important for Alzheimer’s disease to be a critical component of the state’s Master Plan for Aging (intensity is high)

Question: By 2025, our state will spend more than five billion dollars to care for nearly 850,000 Californians living with Alzheimer’s and related dementias. This fatal brain disease is one of the most challenging conditions that our aging population faces, and it deeply impacts not only the person diagnosed but also families and caregivers. The Master Plan for Aging includes recommendations for early screening, timely diagnosis and ongoing care planning for Californians with Alzheimer’s disease. How important do you feel it is for Alzheimer’s disease to be a critical component of the state’s Master Plan for Aging? Would you say that it is very important, somewhat important, not very important or not at all important?

Regional Snapshot:
- Los Angeles County: 84.7%
- Other Southern California: 80.8%
- Central Valley: 82.5%
- San Francisco Bay Area: 84.3%
- Other Northern California: 75.6%

All Voters: 82.6%
- Important: 92.9%
- Somewhat: 66.0%
- Very: 20.7%
- Not Important: 79.0%

Republican: 72.1%
- Important: 60.7%
- Somewhat: 21.6%
- Very: 21.9%
- Not Important: 44.4%

Democratic: 54.0%
- Important: 25.0%
- Somewhat: 72.1%
- Very: 44.4%
- Not Important: 21.6%

Independent: 11.4%
- Important: 23.2%
- Somewhat: 4.3%
- Very: 2.4%
- Not Important: 12.4%

Unsure/Refused: 10.8%
- Important: 13.0%
- Somewhat: 4.9%
- Very: 10.0%
- Not Important: 7.2%
- Not at all: 2.5%

Los Angeles County: 92.9%
- Important: 84.7%
- Somewhat: 7.2%
- Very: 4.2%
- Not Important: 4.3%

Other Southern California: 79.0%
- Important: 80.8%
- Somewhat: 10.8%
- Very: 4.2%
- Not Important: 4.9%

Central Valley: 82.5%
- Important: 82.5%
- Somewhat: 4.9%
- Very: 13.0%
- Not Important: 4.3%

San Francisco Bay Area: 84.3%
- Important: 84.3%
- Somewhat: 10.0%
- Very: 2.5%
- Not Important: 4.3%

Other Northern California: 75.6%
- Important: 75.6%
- Somewhat: 10.8%
- Very: 2.9%
- Not Important: 8.5%
The Five Key Goals
Each of the five key goals established by the plan
Are ranked as being important by over eight out of ten voters (intensity is high)

Question: Now, I am going to read a list of the FIVE key goals established by California's Master Plan for Aging. Please listen to each and then let me know if you feel that goal is very important, somewhat important, not very important or not at all important towards best preparing our state for its rapidly aging population and their families?“

88.5%

87.5%

86.6%

85.7%

84.7%

We will have access to the services we need to live at home in our communities and to optimize our health and quality of life.

We will have economic security for as long as we live.

We will have lifelong opportunities for work, volunteering, engagement, and leadership and will be protected from isolation, discrimination, abuse, neglect, and exploitation.

We will live where we choose as we age in communities that are age-, disability-, and dementia-friendly and climate- and disaster-ready.

We will be prepared for and supported through the rewards and challenges of caring for aging loved ones.

(714) 906-2061
jwallin@jwallin.com
Investing In and Prioritizing The Master Plan for Aging
79.2% feel it is important for the Governor
To prioritize and invest in the Master Plan for Aging (intensity is high)

Question: How important do you feel it is for California’s Governor to prioritize and invest in the Master Plan for Aging to ensure it achieves its goals? Would you say that it is very important, somewhat important, not very important or not at all important?
Political Impact on Elected Officials
Nearly a supermajority (62.7%) would be more likely to support an elected official if they prioritized California’s Master Plan for Aging.

Question: Would you be more likely or less likely to support elected officials if they prioritized California’s Master Plan for Aging? [RECORD] [IF MORE LIKELY OR LESS LIKELY] And would you say that you are much [more likely/less likely] or somewhat [more likely/less likely]?

Regional Snapshot:

- Los Angeles County: 62.6%
- Other Southern California: 61.2%
- Central Valley: 63.0%
- San Francisco Bay Area: 66.5%
- Other Northern California: 56.1%

More likely to support:
- All Voters: 62.7%
- Republican: 37.8%
- Democratic: 80.1%
- Independent: 54.0%

Less likely to support:
- All Voters: 35.6%
- Republican: 20.7%
- Democratic: 17.0%
- Independent: 49.2%

Unsure/Refused:
- All Voters: 27.1%
- Republican: 3.6%
- Democratic: 8.3%
- Independent: 11.9%
Final Thoughts
Highlights of themes wherein opinions of key demographics differ significantly from that of the aggregate

Those who are MORE likely to feel that California is unprepared to care for its aging population
- Those in Northern California (68.3%)

Those who are MORE likely to support the Master Plan for Aging
- Black/African-American voters (65.7%)

Those who are MORE likely to feel that COVID has made it more urgent to prioritize investing in the Master Plan
- Black/African-American voters (79.0%)
- Asian/Pacific Islanders (74.0%)
- Those aged 70+ (74.0%)

Those who are MORE likely to feel that it is important for California’s Governor to prioritize and invest in the Master Plan to ensure it achieves its goals
- Black/African-American voters (87.6%)
- Latino/Hispanic voters (85.8%)

Those who are MORE likely to support elected officials if they prioritized California's Master Plan
- Black/African-American voters (73.3%)
- Those aged 70+ (71.0%)
- Asian/Pacific Islander voters (70.2%)